**How should end-of-life Advance Care Planning discussions be conducted according to patients and informal carers?**

A systematic narrative review of reviews

**Aim**
- To synthesise what is known in the research literature about patients’ and informal carers’ perspectives on ACP discussions.

**Findings so far…**
- Discussion is difficult and people are often reluctant to start - ACP is emotive, and has legal uncertainties.
  - There is a wide variety of formats, e.g. documents and computer programmes; supported or self-administered. There is some limited evidence in favour of multimodal approaches delivered with support from health care professionals, but robust evidence is lacking about patient and carer preferences.
  - For some groups, such as people with intellectual disabilities, or cancer survivors, ACP discussions do not seem to take place at all.

- Patients and carers prefer health care professionals to initiate ACP discussions. Good relationships with health care professionals are important – patients and carers want someone who knows their history and who understands ACP.

- Patients and carers generally welcome ACP – they report that it gives them peace of mind, decreases worry about death, and helps them to feel more in control. However, patient acceptance partly depends on their values, their understanding of their illness, and the amount of information they can handle. For people with dementia, carers’ perceptions in the moment may be more influential than patients’ past attitudes and wishes.

- Timing may be influenced by the patient’s illness. For people with dementia, patients and carers may prefer earlier discussions. For other conditions, they may prefer to wait until life-changing events in the illness trajectory, or when they have a better understanding of the seriousness of the illness.

**Flow of papers through review**
- 421 papers identified
- 224 potentially relevant papers
- 163 papers assessed
- 14 papers excluded

**Methods**
- Informed by CLAHRC GM priority setting work with carers and professionals
- Search: Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews; Web of Science; PubMed; CINAHL; PsycINFO (December 2017) + reference list scanning
- Limits: Peer-reviewed literature reviews published in English since 2007
- Include: literature review of primary research; adopted a systematic search strategy
- Exclude: not possible to identify patient & carer perspectives; ACP with children

**What?**
- Patients and carers generally want honesty about prognosis and options. People may prefer to make practical arrangements for after-death events than think about end-of-life care.

**Why?**
- Understanding is influenced by culture e.g. African American and Latino/Hispanic cultures emphasise duty to families, and religious or spiritual beliefs. They are less likely to have had ACP discussions than those who define themselves as white.

- Heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are not closely linked with ACP.
- Dementia and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease have unpredictable trajectories.
- People with dementia may struggle to imagine the future.
- People with chronic kidney disease may fluctuate sharply between desiring survival or not wishing to prolong life.

**Where?**
- Patients and carers generally welcome ACP, but their understandings are influenced by their knowledge and experiences of illness, and their cultural values. Health care professionals should be given the appropriate time and skills to initiate discussions about ACP which are sensitive to individuals’ preferences and circumstances, and include strategies for increasing their readiness to engage. This may require a range of methods to help facilitate ACP discussions and enhance patients’ and carers’ understanding of ACP.

---
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